

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,350	07/17/2003	Ryoichi Kawada	030838	8925	
38834 7590 01/12/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER		
			VO, TUNG T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2621		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 E	DAYS	01/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/620,350	KAWADA ET AL.	KAWADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tung Vo	2621		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addre	ss	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply will by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this commentation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)∐	•	nis action is non-final.			
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	•			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers		•		
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• •	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	·	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		·· — ·		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National Sta	age	
* 0	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A na nativa d		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.		
,					
	•	•			
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/620,350

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, figures. 1 and 2;

Species II, figure 3;

Species III, figure 4

Species IV, figures 8-9;

Species V, figures 8 and 11.

The species are independent or distinct because they have different matching processes or methods to estimate motions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,350

Art Unit: 2621

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 3

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2621

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tung Vo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621